Cryptocurrency disputes and enforceability of arbitral awards in MENA jurisdictions



ESSEX COURT CHAMBERS



Prof Dr Martin Lau, Professor of Law at SOAS University of London; Barrister at Essex Court Chambers

Dr Amel Makhlouf, Research Associate at SOAS University of London; Attorney at Law (Paris)

avocat

DUBAI ARBITRATION WEEK

FinTech & cryptocurrency in MENA jurisdictions

ESSEX COURT CHAMBERS

BARRISTERS



avocat

2

FinTech vs Islamic FinTech

Definition of Financial Technology (FinTech)

ESSEX COURT CHAMBERS

BARRISTERS



avocat

FinTech solutions from a sharī'ah standpoint

FinTech initiatives in Islamic finance

Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic

Cryptocurrency from a sharī'ah standpoint

Definition of cryptocurrency

ESSEX COURT CHAMBERS

BARRISTERS



avocat

Cryptocurrency in the perspective of maqāṣid alsharī'ah

Legality of intangible assets in Islam : Resolution No. 43 (5/5) on moral rights, IIFA

Launch of a halāl decentralised financial system

Cryptocurrency from a sharī'ah standpoint

ESSEX COURT CHAMBERS

BARRISTERS



avocat

5 Critical issues raised by cryptocurrency in Islam

Controversial fatāwá issued by fuqahā'

Cryptocurrency in MENA jurisdictions

ESSEX COURT CHAMBERS

BARRISTERS



avocat



Enforceability of arbitral awards in cryptocurrency disputes

ESSEX COURT CHAMBERS





7

Enforceability of arbitral awards in MENA jurisdictions

Concept of public policy in MENA jurisdictions

ESSEX COURT CHAMBERS

BARRISTERS



avocat

Islam and public policy

Enforcement of arbitral awards in cryptocurrency disputes (Dubai, Abu Dhabi, Bahrain and Malaysia)



ESSEX COURT CHAMBERS

BARRISTERS





Formulations of Public Policy

Article 1 (e), Geneva Convention 1927:

"Principles of law" and "Principes du droit public"

Article V(2)(b), New York Convention:

"Would be contrary to the public policy of that country"

Arabic Version, UNCITRAL Model Law 1985:

al-siyāsa al-ʿāmma السياسة العامة

Articles 2, 37 and 53(2)(b), UE Federal Law No. 6 of 2018 on Arbitration: النظام العام al-niẓām al-ʿāmm

ESSEX COURT CHAMBERS

BARRISTERS





Gambling & legal exceptions

Jordanian Civil Code, 1713.

(1) The law grants no action for a gaming debt, or for the payment of a bet.

(2) Nor does it grant any action - (a) for the recovery of any sum lent by any person who knew that such sum was intended for gaming; (b) for the recovery of any sum lent by any person interested in the game, for the payment of money lost at such game.

<u>1714</u>. (1) Games which tend to help training in the use of arms, foot-races, horse-races, boat-races, ball-games and other games of the same kind which develop the dexterity and exercise of the body, are excepted from the provisions of the last preceding article. (2) Nevertheless, the court may reduce the sum claimed when it appears to it to be excessive.

DUBAI ARBITRATION WEEK

Enforcement issues of arbitral awards

Enforcement issues in some MENA jurisdictions

ESSEX COURT CHAMBERS

BARRISTERS





Recent cases against cryptocurrencies:

 First Instance Court of Agrinio 193/2018, Nomos No. 813530; and Court of Appeal of Western Central Greece, No. 88/2021 (unreported)

 Gao Zheyu v. Shenzhen Yunsilu Innovation Development Fund Enterprise (L.P.) and Li Bin, (2018) Yue 03 Min Te No.
719) [2020] China (Shenzhen Intermediate People's Court)

DUBAI ARBITRATION WEEK

ESSEX COURT CHAMBERS

BARRISTERS



avocat

Thank you for your attention

Questions?

شكرا على حسن استماعكم